

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Current Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOCS_1784</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Energy Access and Poverty in Nigeria: An Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Investigation</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study provides insight into the nexus between energy access and poverty reduction relationship in Nigeria. It focuses on understanding how energy access can help to improve the standard of living in the country of interest. The empirical findings are germane for policy formulation and implementation considering the fact that Nigeria has been described as a poverty ridden nation.	Noted
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is succinct and suitable.	Noted
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is precise and adequate.	Noted
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript followed the scientific procedure for investigation. The methodology is justified and adequate.	Noted
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Check for cases of cited, but not referenced author (e.g. Kayode, Akhavan & Ford, 2016); and referenced, but not cited authors (Sovacool, B. J. (2012)).	Noted
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, quite lucid.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There is no background information on the variables of interest in relation to the country of focus. Some stylized facts on poverty level and energy access is desirable.</li><li>2. The research is a single country study. However, on pg. 6, first paragraph under the methodology section, in the last line, the author(s) seems to suggest that it is a panel analysis.</li><li>3. How did the author(s) resolved the possible issue of multicollinearity that could arise when volume of electricity generation and electricity consumption are model together as exogenous variables in the same model? Was the issue of electricity losses considered? Necessary test could be conducted.</li><li>4. What is the direct or indirect link between poverty level and carbon emissions? There is need for clear explanation or justification for inclusion of variables in the model.</li><li>5. A more critic of the extant literature will be desirable than just listing of previous works.</li><li>6. The second recommendation could be linked with electricity access which is the main independent variable.</li></ol>	Noted

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	