

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPAM_1777</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MODIFIED JOHNSON'S ALGORITHM FOR MULTIPLE MACHINES SCHEDULING PROBLEM</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides a valuable advancement in job-shop scheduling by modifying Johnson's algorithm to handle multiple machines directly, offering a more efficient solution to a common NP-hard problem. Its proposed heuristic contributes to improving makespan and idle time, which are crucial metrics for optimizing real-world production systems and manufacturing workflows.	Noted and corrected
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	I think the abstract is good enough.	Ok
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The article should mention specifically which part of the existing algorithm the have modified, even the raised issue (if any).	Revised
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	I want to know, is there any other articles, who modified <b>JOHNSON'S ALGORITHM</b> ? Please try to refer those.	Noted
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	I hope it is okay.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>Section 3 would not be 3.0, and in this section, you must mention how you implement and evaluate. Specifically, mention languages, tools, and techniques.</b>	Noted and corrected

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	