

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAM_1777
Title of the Manuscript:	MODIFIED JOHNSON'S ALGORITHM FOR MULTIPLE MACHINES SCHEDULING PROBLEM
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author has done good work on scheduling problem . Really it is highly commendable	Ok
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title of work is suitable to the journal	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes abstract is more precise	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes manuscript follows the scientific type methods	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are prepared as per standards	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language quality is good	Ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	nil	ok

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	