Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biosciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORIB_1780
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection on Litter Size at Birth and Correlated Responses in Pre-weaning Litter Traits of Heterogeneous Rabbits in Southern Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 3(07-07-2024)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that	
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes its important Because these materials are widely used now.		
Is the title of the article suitable?	Selection based on litter size at birth and associated responses to pre-weaning litter traits of		
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	heterozygous rabbits in southern Nigeria		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you	yes		
suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in			
this section? Please write your suggestions here.			
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write	yes		
here.			
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have	All of it is appropriate		
suggestions of additional references, please mention			
them in the review form.			
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes		
Optional/General comments			

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Riyadh Alkenany	
Department, University & Country	University of Kerbala, Iraq	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 3(07-07-2024)