

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Sociological Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSR_1644</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Peer Tutoring in Reducing Bullying Behaviour among Secondary School Students in Wukari Local Government Area of Taraba State, Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1:** Review Comments

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Bullying is major problem in adolescent It has many serious problems and may life long Simple measures are to prevent.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. Absolute correct in words and criteria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As per IMARD. Included all important points Within in limit of words. Mentioned key words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All the subsections are as per format IMARD.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Correctly data collected and explained the result. Correctly described each of IMARD Table and statistical test are correct Need to add ethical permission, funding and reliability and validity of For data collection.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Adequate in number Relevant to topic Few of studies are older than 15 years.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good in English wording and grammar.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	May add implication of results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Malhar Kulkarni
Department, University & Country	BVDU, India