



## GPH Review Form

Journal Name:	BIONATURE
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BN_1619
Title of the Manuscript:	Malaria vaccines targeting Pfs25 antigen in parasite mosquito stages to block transmission
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://globalpresshub.com/index.php/BN/editorial-policy>)

## [GPH Review Form](#)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<b>Malaria is major health problem of world. Vaccination helps to prevent to eradicate the diseases.</b> <b>This study is related to</b> Malaria vaccines targeting Pfs25 antigen in parasite mosquito  <b>Yes. Most appropriate</b>  <b>Yes. Include short, but important information. Mentioned history, problem, and present activities.</b> Added keywords.  <b>Yes. Introduction, stages of vaccination process, changes, conclusion and references.</b>  <b>Yes. Microbiologically all steps are correct.</b>  <b>Yes. Adequate in number, recent and appropriate.</b> Vancouver style is applied for writing the references.  <b>Concepts are clarified with figures and tables. Content is comprehensive and orderly arranged.</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Excellent in wording, meaning and grammar. Simple, yet scientific. Mentioned full forms of short forms.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Take opinion of microbiologist for the process and action of vaccination.	

[GPH Review Form](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sunil Malhar Kulkarni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>BVDU, India</b>