



## GPH Review Form

Journal Name:	BIONATURE
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BN_1619
Title of the Manuscript:	Malaria vaccines targeting Pfs25 antigen in parasite mosquito stages to block transmission
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://globalpresshub.com/index.php/BN/editorial-policy>)

## [GPH Review Form](#)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	Yes. The update of TBV development targeting Pfs25, advances in the transmission blocking assay, and challenges in the development of TBVs is reviewed.  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes Yes	Thank you for your time to review our article. All valuable comments and suggestions from you and other reviewers have helped us to improve our manuscript. We have made revisions accordingly and highlighted them in yellow.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		



# GLOBAL PRESS HUB

[GPH Review Form](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	