



GLOBAL PRESS HUB

GPH Review Form

Journal Name:	BIONATURE
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BN_1619
Title of the Manuscript:	Malaria vaccines targeting Pfs25 antigen in parasite mosquito stages to block transmission
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://globalpresshub.com/index.php/BN/editorial-policy>)

[GPH Review Form](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	The development of this type of vaccines is very interesting and important. What is not clear to me is the safety and at what ages it can be administered.	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Climate change has developed this type of tropical disease and, added to the resistance that is appearing, I consider it a very important topic to delve into. Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Thank you for your time to review our article. All valuable comments and suggestions from you and other reviewers have helped us to improve our manuscript. We have made revisions accordingly and highlighted them in yellow.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The development of this type of vaccines is very interesting and important. What is not clear to me is the safety and at what ages it can be administered.	We have put in the table 1 results of several phase 1 clinical trials of the Pfs25 vaccines, and show that the vaccines are well tolerated in most studies. We have added the ages of the study subjects in table, which were in the range of 18-50 years old.

[GPH Review Form](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	