

GPH Review Form

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMMS_1621
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF DIFFERENT SCORING SYSTEMS IN ASSESSING THE CLINICAL PROBABILITY OF PULMONARY EMBOLISM
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://globalpresshub.com/index.php/AJRMMS/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1(10-04-2018)



GPH Review Form

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	"To the best of my knowledge and experience the article is much needed research project to cater the lacuna in the current medical scenario. The	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	minireview article has been carried out very	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	meticulously and is genuine. So i think their is not any issue to address. The article should get published in the journal."	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	,	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments	NO COMMENTS	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO COMMENTS	
Optional/General comments		
	NO COMMENTS	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1(10-04-2018)



GPH Review Form

2: Ethical issues

Are there ethical issues in this	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)
manuscript?	No there are not any ethical issues to deal with.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudasir Ahmad Khan
Department, University & Country	India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1(10-04-2018)