PERCEIVED IMPACT AND PERCEIVED FACTORS INFLUENCING MULTIDISCIPLINARY COLLABORATION IN PATIENTS CARE AMONG HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS IN FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTER ONITSHA, ANAMBRA

ABSTRACT

Patient's satisfaction and quality care is paramount in any health care setting, and in order to achieve this, multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care is essential. Globally, multidisciplinary collaboration has been identified as a key means to improve quality and safety of patient care. The study aimed to assess the perceived impact and perceived factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha. Descriptive cross sectional study was adopted for this research work. Instrument for collection of data was questionnaire formulated in a 5-point Likert scale. A reliability coefficient of 0.86 was established proving the instrument reliable. Healthcare providers from the study area made up the population, while 177 were drawn as sample using proportionate sampling technique. Data were analyzed with SPSS using mean, standard deviation, grand mean, percentage and Pearson's Chi-square. The result of the study showed that majority of the participants were female 125(70.6%) the healthcare providers have good perception on the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care. 52.1% of the nurses, 64.3% doctors and 39.1% allied health professional possess good perceptive on perceived nurses, doctors and allied healthcare professional related factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care respectively. Furthermore, 60.0% and 41.2% of the participants possess good perspective on perceived organizational and client related factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care. Several important factors play a role in a multidisciplinary collaborative in patient care. In this study, there was different perceptions regarding the factor influencing collaboration among healthcare professionals; communication gap, time constraint, negative attitude toward changes and innovation and poor managerial leadership / lack of supervision were considered as hindrances to multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care among the healthcare providers. Multidisciplinary collaboration needs to be socialized and its importance needs to be made known in every healthcare institution.

Keywords: Perceived, impact, multidisciplinary, collaboration, healthcare providers.

Introduction

In every healthcare context, achieving patient satisfaction and high-quality care is crucial, and interdisciplinary collaboration is necessary to make this happen (Busari et al., 2017). Around the world, interdisciplinary cooperation and communication have been found to be essential for raising the standard and ensuring patient safety (Zwarenstein et al., 2018). It has been recognized as best practice in several healthcare professions for providing the best possible treatment for patients. The World Health Organization (WHO) has recognized the purpose of a multidisciplinary team since 1978 through the use of multidisciplinary collaboration (MDC)

or multidisciplinary teams (MDT). These teams aim to unite diverse health care professionals from different fields to collaborate on developing a treatment plan for patients (Terberna et al., 2020). Degu et al., (2023) define multidisciplinary collaboration as a process, entails the mutual and active engagement of independent health professionals. During this time, each group of healthcare professionals provides patients with appropriate care by using their knowledge and skills, and their interactions are guided by shared norms and visions that have been freely agreed upon. A variety of specialized roles that may vary depending on the patient's needs or care are performed by this multidisciplinary team, which includes nurses, midwives, surgeons, radiographers, nutritionists, pharmacists, laboratory scientists, administrative staff, and social workers, among others (Cecilia et al., 2017). It will be possible for this team to collaborate harmoniously and efficiently to deliver excellent, customized, or patient-centered care, thanks to their knowledge, professionalism, and skill (Geese et al., 2023).

An individual who needs specialist care for their health is called a patient, it involves the mutual and active participation of independent healthcare professionals, whereby each group applies its knowledge and expertise to deliver appropriate patient care (Cecilia et al, 2017). These patients could have acute or long-term medical conditions.

Webster et al. (2019) noted that although some of these conditions may get more complicated with age or longer life expectancies, the frequency and prevalence of patients with multi-morbidities—that is, people with more than one concurrent chronic disease—continue to climb annually. Most of the time, these patients are hospitalized and readmitted to the hospital with depression and other mental health problems as a result of complex concerns that call for multidisciplinary care from many medical professionals (Webster et al., 2019). Individuals with several problems according to Crelier (2018), patients with numerous conditions are thought to have more complex health difficulties than those with a single sickness, and in order to assure holistic care, this circumstance required the collaboration of health specialists from

diverse disciplines. However, there would be fragmentations and subsequent lapses in the patients' holistic treatment if a single approach technique of care is applied to these patients with complex cases and many morbidities. Consequently, it is advised that these professionals with various skill sets (specializations) use a multidisciplinary approach or team approach in order to share decision-making responsibilities and to work effectively and efficiently toward the shared objective of providing patients with holistic care (Cecilia et al., 2017).

The multidisciplinary team or cooperation (MDT) is the capacity of each professional to effectively accept complementary responsibilities within the team, collaborate with one another, and share accountability with mutual respect in order to provide patients, families, and the community with high-quality treatment (Dagala et al., 2020). There are several advantages to multidisciplinary teamwork in patient care, such as better health outcomes, higher standards of care, improved systems and procedures, and increased patient safety (Degu, et al., 2023). Hintenach and Judith (2020) stated that it facilitates patient transfers between care locations, lowers the possibility of prescription errors, and lessens the possibility of duplication of services. According to Hitchen and Judith (2020), healthcare practitioners are also able to exercise specific clinical abilities at the "top of their licenses," which helps to evenly distribute the effort among team members. In the medical field this is crucial in healthcare settings since overworked administrative infrastructures and a possible lack of clinicians could exist, especially in busy services like inpatient units, emergency departments, and outpatient clinics (Hintenach & Judith, 2020).

Although the health care system has integrated and encouraged multidisciplinary teamwork in patient care, it has not proven successful throughout Africa. According to Degu et al. (2023), inefficient multidisciplinary partnerships can be attributed to several issues, including inadequate professional support, inadequate interpersonal communication, and a disregard for teamwork. Inter professional collaboration is significantly impacted by a number of other

aspects as well, including organizational characteristics, caregiver-related issues, healthcare providers' attitudes, and the shortage of healthcare practitioners.

Degu et al. (2023) stated that multidisciplinary collaborations are ineffective throughout Sub-Saharan Africa, the area most impacted. Poor inter professional cooperation thus compromised patient safety, care, and improvement and created moral distress for healthcare professionals (Degu, et al., 2023). Given that root because analysis indicates that 60–70% of serious patient incidents are caused by a lack of effective teamwork (such as communication), it is not surprising that ineffective multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care continues to be a primary cause of errors and near misses in healthcare (Zajac, et al., 2021). In the medical facility, poor interdisciplinary teamwork during patient care can impact clinical practice (e.g., timely response and treatment, accuracy of diagnosis), patient health outcomes (e.g., complications, duration of hospital stay), and employee satisfaction (e.g., health and patient outcomes).

The necessity of interdisciplinary cooperation has had a beneficial effect since each member of the team can collaborate to offer recommendations that enhance the patient's outcomes while working with the patient in their area of expertise. Moreover, Dagala et al. (2020) contended that patient outcomes may be impacted by the degree of collaboration among healthcare providers. According to Bosch and Mansell (2015), these effects include a decline in the death and morbidity rates as well as an improvement in job satisfaction and a decrease in the amount of additional labor that healthcare professionals have to perform. According to other research, the perceived benefits of interdisciplinary teamwork include improved patient access to medical services, lower hospitalization rates, and fewer rates of complications.

But for a multidisciplinary collaboration to be successful, specific tactics were required. As stated by Okato et al., (2020), to guarantee successful multidisciplinary collaboration, it is

essential to have dedication, communication, strong leadership, sufficient resources, and understanding. According to Bosch and Mansell (2023), there was also reporting on responsibility, communication discipline, a clear objective, and leadership. Healthcare providers at Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State, face a variety of obstacles that can impede their ability to perform effectively in multidisciplinary teams. In light of these challenges, the researcher aims to evaluate the perceived influence of these factors and other factors that influence multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care.

Aims and Objectives of the Study

The main purpose of the study was to assess perceived impact and perceived factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State. Specifically, the study was guided by the following objectives:

- 1. To assess the level of perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among the healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State.
- 2. To determine the perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State.

1.5 Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses was tested at significance level of 0.05

- 1. There is no significant association between the perceived organizational factors related factors and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care.
- 2. There is no significant association between the perceived clients' factors and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care.

METHODOLOGY

This was a descriptive cross sectional study meant to assess perceived impact and perceived factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State. This study design is a non-experimental method that seeks to determine the status of the phenomenon as it exists at the time of study without influencing it. The population of the study comprised of healthcare providers working in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State at the time of the study and they were about two hundred and sixty-eight (268). This population comprised of various professionals such as doctors, nurses and allied health professional comprising of pharmacists, medical laboratory scientists, medical laboratory technicians, nutritionists, social health workers, physiotherapists and radiographers. Proportionate sampling technique was used to select the study participants which were 177 healthcare workers. The researcher used primary data as she collected the data directly from the health care providers working in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State. Data was collected using structured questionnaire titled "perceived impact and perceived factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care (PIPFIMCPQ). The questionnaire was divided into sections. Section A contained the selected socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents, sections B contained items on perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care in among healthcare providers, section C, D, E, F and G covered items perceived nurses related factors, perceived doctors related factors, perceived allied health professional related factors, perceived organizational factors and perceived client related factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers respectively. Sections B to G was structured in a 5-point modified Likert scale format. The response mode used in the 5-point scale was Strongly Agreed (SA) which was rated as (5points), Agreed (A) 4 points, undecided (UD) 3 points, disagree (D) 2 points and strongly disagreed (SD) 1point. And the criterion mean is set at 3.0.

Direct delivery and retrieval method was used in the administration of the instrument to the respondents. It was distributed in the hospital with the help of three assistants. These three (3) assistants were briefed about the study and instructed on how to select the participants and interpret the research questions. Informed and voluntary consent was obtained from the selected participants before administration of the questionnaire. Those that were willing participated in the study voluntarily without coercion. In filling the questionnaire, they were informed to fill section A, B and C that were compulsory for everyone, after which they were asked to select the section that corresponds with their profession.

Total of one hundred and seventy-seven (177) copies of the study instrument (questionnaire) were administered to the respondents over a period of five days in the hospital. It took about 6 - 12 minutes for each of the healthcare workers to complete a questionnaire. The administered questionnaires were collected immediately they were completed and this helped to ensure high return rate, as all the 177 copies were retrieved making 100% return rate. The questionnaires after the analysis were stored locked-up out of reach of people to ensure safe keeping and confidentiality. According to the school policy, it can be stored till there is no need for it or for five years' maximum. Data collected were analyzed using SPSS version 21.0. Sociodemographic characteristics like gender, age, marital status, and professional history like; total years of experience, years of practice in healthcare, the professional qualifications of the health care providers and the questions covering the research questions was presented in a frequency table and analyzed using the descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages and mean. Chi-square was used for testing the association between health care providers' related factors and multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care. It was also being used to determine the association between organizational factors and multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 1: Socio-demographic Characteristics of the Respondents

S/N	Variable	(n=177)	(%)
1.	Gender		
	Male	52	29.4
	Female	125	70.6
2.	Age (years)		
	29-39 years	83	46.9
	40-50 years	85	48.0
	51 years and above	9	5.1
3.	Marital status		
	Single	58	32.8
	Married	117	66.1
	Widowed	2	1.1
4.	Professional qualification		
	Allied health professionals	46	26.0
	Medical doctors	14	7.9
	Registered nurse-midwives	117	66.1
5.	Total years of experience		
	0 - 10	113	63.8
	11 - 20	45	25.4
	21 - 30	19	10.7
6.	Years of practice in the hospital		
	0-5	61	34.5
	6 – 10	79	44.6
	11 – 15	23	13.0
	16 - 20	14	7.9
	Total	177	100.0

The study group comprised 177 participants, with varying demographic characteristics. In terms of gender most of them were female 125(70.6%) while 50(29.4%). For the age distribution, a significant portion fell within the 40-50 years age range, constituting 85(48.0%) of the participants, while those aged 29-39 made up 83(46.9%), 51 years and above 9(5.1%). The mean age of the participants' was 39.12 ± 12 years. Marital status varied among the participants, with the majority being married 117(66.1%), followed by singles 58(32.8%), divorced 13(5.6%) and widowed 2(1.1%). Total years of practice revealed a diverse group, with 113(63.8%) having worked for 0-10 years, 45(25.4%) 11-20 years and 19(10.7%) having work experience of 21-30. Professional qualification, the study group included allied health professionals 46(26.0%), medical doctors 14(7.9%) and registered nurse-midwives 117(66.1%). Regarding years of practice in the facility, participants that have practiced for 6-

10years took the lead with 79(44.6%), followed closely by those of 6 – 10years 61(34.5%), 11 – 15year 23(13.0%) and 16 – 20years 14(7.9%). Religiously the majority identified as Christians 175(99.3%), and those adhering to African traditional religions 2(1.1%). This comprehensive breakdown provides a thorough understanding of the diverse demographic composition within the study group.

Table 2: level of perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care

among healthcare providers

Variable Variable	-			LIKERT S	SCALE (n	=177)		
	SA (5)	A (4)	UD (3)	DA (2)	SD (1)	Mean score	Standard deviation	Remarks
Leads to improved	96	69	5 (2.8)	4 (2.3)	3 (1.7)	4.4	0.91	Accepted
patient outcome	(54.2)	(39.0)						
Ensures continuity	71	80	17 (9.6)	7 (4.0)	2 (1.3)	4.2	0.89	Accepted
of care	(40.1)	(45.2)						
Reduces the risk of	59	81	19	15 (8.5)	3 (1.7)	4.0	0.81	Accepted
health complications	(33.3)	(45.8)	(10.7)					
Enhances	51	72	37	16 (9.0)	1 (0.6)	3.9	0.79	Accepted
communication among	(28.1)	(40.7)	(20.9)					
healthcare professional								
Leads to more	56	68	28	23	2 (1.13)	3.9	0.79	Accepted
understanding of patients' needs	(31.6)	(38.4)	(15.8)	(13.0)				
Contributes to	57	60	37	19	4 (2.3)	3.8	0.77	Accepted
development of holistic care plan	(32.2)	(33.9)	(20.9)	(10.7)				
Improves overall	45	76	26	25	5 (2.8)	3.7	0.71	Accepted
patient hospital experience	(25.4)	(43.0)	(14.7)	(14.1)	, ,			•
Helps to reduce	45	88	24	18	2 (1.1)	3.9	0.79	Accepted
length of hospital stay	(25.4)	(49.7)	(13.6)	(10.2)				
Grand mean						3.97	0.78	

According to the result shown in the above table which determined the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among the healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State; the variables it leads to improved patient outcome, ensures continuity of care, reduces the risk of health complications, enhances communication among healthcare professional, leads to more understanding of patients' needs, contributes to development of holistic care plan, improves overall patient experience and helps to reduce length of hospital stay have mean values and standard deviations of (4.4 ± 0.91) , (4.2 ± 0.89) , (4.0 ± 0.81) , (3.9 ± 0.79) , $(3.9 \pm 0.0.79)$, $(3.8 \pm 0.0.78)$, (3.7 ± 0.71) , and (3.9 ± 0.79) respectively and grand mean of (3.8 ± 0.78) . The variables possess mean values above 3.0 which enabled them to be accepted. The grand mean being above 3.0 indicates that health care providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State have high level of perception on the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care.

Table 3: Analysis of the degree of perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers

Variables	(n=117)	%	
Low perception	68	38.4	
High perception	109	61.6	
Total	177	100	

/0%-50% Poor perceptive, 51%-100% Good perceptive/

Table above revealed the analysis of the degree of perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State. Result showed that 61.6% of respondents possess high level of perception while 38.4% expressed low level of perception. Based on the result we can conclude that majority of healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State have high level of perception on the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care.

Table 4: Perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in nationts' care

patients' care								
Variable	LIKERT SCALE (n=177)							
	SA (5)	A (4)	UD (3)	D (2)	SD (1)	Mean Score	Standard deviation	Remarks
Poor or unfriendly	59	65	28	18	7 (4.0)	3.9	0.75	Accepted
work environment	(33.3)	(36.7)	(15.8)	(10.2)				
Poor managerial	59	80	36	16 (9.0)	7 (4.0)	4.3	0.91	Accepted
leadership / lack of supervision	(33.3)	(45.2)	(20.3)					
Hostile hospital	33	52	57	25	9 (5.1)	3.4	0.74	Accepted
policies	(18.1)	(30.0)	(32.2)	(14.1)				
Poor workers'	35	64	40	31	7 (4.0)	3.5	0.74	Accepted
motivation	(19.8)	(36.2)	(22.6)	(17.5)				
Inadequate	38	60	52	20	7 (4.0)	3.6	0.62	Accepted
manpower	(21.5)	(33.9)	(29.4)	(11.3)				
Inefficient	36	58	53	25	5 (2.8)	3.5	0.79	Accepted
communication channel	(20.3)	(32.8)	(30.0)	(14.1)				
Proximity between	30	60	49	33	4 (2.3)	3.4	0.62	Accepted
the departments	(16.6)	(34.1)	(27.8)	(18.8)				
Training programs	33	66	43	27	6 (3.4)	3.5	0.74	Accepted
to emphasize benefits of collaboration	(18.9)	(37.7)	(24.6)	(15.4)				
Grand mean						3.6	0.81	

The above result determined the perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State. The variables; poor or unfriendly work environment, poor managerial leadership / lack of supervision, hostile hospital policies, poor workers' motivation, reduced ratio of health care providers to client (inadequate manpower), inefficient communication channel within the organization, proximity of different departments and lack of training or programs that emphasize the importance of collaboration have mean values and standard deviations of (3.9 ± 0.76) , (4.3 ± 0.91) , (3.4 ± 0.74) , (3.5 ± 0.74) , (3.6 ± 0.62) , (3.5 ± 0.79) , (3.4 ± 0.62) , and (3.5 ± 0.74) , respectively and grand mean of (3.6 ± 0.81) . Having grand

mean that is above criterion mean indicates that healthcare providers in the study area affirmed that the above variables are the perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care.

Table 5: Degree of perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers

Variables	(n=177)	%
Low perception	69	40.0
High perception	108	60.0
Total	177	100.0

/0%-50% Poor perceptive, 51%-100% Good perceptive/

Result revealed that 108(60.0%) of respondents expressed high perception on the perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers while 69(40.0%) expressed low perception. Based on the result we can conclude that majority of healthcare providers in the study area expressed high perception on the organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care.

Test of Hypotheses

Hypothesis one: There is no significant association between the perceived organizational factors and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care.

Table 6: Chi-square result for test of hypothesis one

Perceived organizational related factors	Perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care			
	\mathbf{X}^2	P-value		
Poor or unfriendly work environment	12.569	0.014*		
Poor managerial leadership / lack of supervision	5.439	0.245		
Hostile hospital policies	2.877	0.579		
Poor workers' motivation	8.392	0.078		
Inadequate manpower	11.727	0.020*		

Inefficient communication channel	3.280	0.512
Proximity between the departments	3.8051	0.433
Training programs to emphasize benefits of collaboration	3.5456	0.471

^{*}relationship is significant at p<0.05

Above findings was used to test the association between the perceived organizational factors and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care, result revealed that the p- values obtained in the table were greater than the 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis was accepted and we concluded that there was statically no significant association between poor managerial leadership / lack of supervision ($X^2 = 5.439$, p = 0.245), hostile hospital policies ($X^2 = 2.877$, p = 0.579), poor workers' motivation ($X^2 = 8.392$, p = 0.078), inefficient communication channel within the organization ($X^2 = 3.280$, p = 0.512), proximity of different departments ($X^2 = 3.8051$, p = 0.433), lack of training or programs that emphasize the importance of collaboration ($X^2 = 3.5456$, p = 0.471) and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care.

Also the table showed that there was a significant difference between poor or unfriendly work environment ($X^2 = 12.569$, p = 0.014) and inadequate manpower ($X^2 = 11.727$, p = 0.020) and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care as the p- values obtained were less than the 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected.

Hypothesis two: There is no significant association between the perceived clients' factors and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care

Table 7: Chi-square result for test of hypothesis two

Perceived clients' related factors	Perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care		
	\mathbf{X}^2	P-value	
Varied patient preferences and values	7.970	0.093	
Complexity of medical condition of client	18.024	0.001*	

Communication challenges (language barriers)	14.127	0.004*
Clients who strongly desire autonomy in decision-making may resist collaborative effort	16.1338	0.003*
Poor cooperation from client	25.7535	0.000*
Financial constraints	23.7615	0.000*
Client's limited health literacy	11.7433	0.019*

*relationship is significant at p<0.05

Result of the study revealed that the p- values obtained in the above table were less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and we conclude that there is statically significant association between complexity of medical condition (p = 0.001), communication challenges (language barriers, or impaired cognitive function) (p = 0.004) clients strongly desiring autonomy in decision-making (p = 0.003), long-standing relationships with specific healthcare providers (p = 0.000) financial constraints (p = 0.000), and limited health literacy (p = 0.019) and the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care.

Also the result revealed that there was no significant difference between varied patient preferences (p = 0.093) and perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care as the p-value obtained was greater than p< 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis was accepted.

Discussion

In this section, findings in the previous chapters were discussed in detail. The section also revealed the relationship between the findings and literatures reviewed to affirm or disprove the previous views.

Result of the study revealed that most of the respondents were female. For the age distribution, a significant portion fell within the age range of 40-50 years, followed by those aged 29 - 39. This agreed with the study conducted by Degu et al., (2023) where most of the respondents were female and were between 26 and 30 years. The result also revealed that greater percentage of the respondents was nurses. This is also in-line with the result reported by Degu et al., (2023) where majority of the respondents was nurses. It equally agreed with Endris et al., (2022) where majority of

the respondents where female and nurses. For their years of experience, most of the respondents have worked for 0 to 10 years; this disagreed with the result of the study done by Degu et al., (2023) and Endris et al., (2022) which reported that most of their participants have 5 to 10 years of work experience. The result of the study revealed that health care providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State have high level of perception on the perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care. This agreed with the study conducted by Tanaka, et al., (2020) which revealed that the respondents were satisfied with multidisciplinary care. It also agreed with the result of study done by Degu et al., (2023) which mentioned that most respondents reported that there was high multidisciplinary collaboration among the professional team. Result of the study further revealed that majority of the respondents agreed that multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care ensures continuity of care, reduces the risk of health complications, enhances communication among healthcare professional, leads to more understanding of patients' needs and contributes to development of holistic care plan. The result used to determine the perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha revealed that most of the healthcare providers in the study area agreed that poor or unfriendly work environment, poor managerial leadership/lack of supervision, poor workers' motivation, inadequate manpower, inefficient communication channel within the organization and lack of training or programs emphasize the importance of collaboration were the perceived organizational factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care, while most of them strongly agreed. This is in-line with the study conducted by Doornebosch et al., (2023) where it was reported that most of the nurses reported that shortage of staff nurses is one of the major issues influencing multidisciplinary collaboration. It equally agreed with Achterberg et al., (2023) which mentioned that low workers' motivation, poor work environment, decreased work force and increased work absenteeism influences multidisciplinary collaboration in

patient care.

Conclusions

The study on assessment of perceived impact and perceived factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients care among healthcare providers in Federal Medical Center Onitsha, Anambra State, concluded that there is good perception of perceived impact and perceived factors influencing multidisciplinary collaboration in patients' care. Several important factors play a role in a multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care. In this study, there were different perceptions regarding the factors influencing collaboration among healthcare professionals like; communication gap, time constraint, negative attitude toward changes and innovation and poor managerial leadership / lack of supervision. Multidisciplinary collaboration needs to be socialized and its importance needs to be made known in every healthcare institution.

5.4 Recommendations

The recommendations made include:

- There is need to improve the regular communication channels, such as meetings or development of digital platforms to facilitate more information exchange and collaboration among the healthcare providers.
- 2. Provision of training and educational program aimed at enhancing multidisciplinary teamwork skill, understanding of each other's roles and mutual respect among the healthcare professional is important.
- 3. There is need for strong leadership support and effective management structures that promote a culture of collaboration and recognize the value of multidisciplinary teamwork in achieving better patient outcome
- 4. Development of continuous evaluation and feedback to identify areas for improvement and address any barrier hindering effective multidisciplinary collaboration.

References

- Achterberg, D., W. P., Hanneke, J.A., & Smaling, H. J. A. (2023). Factors influencing inter professional collaboration in general and during multidisciplinary team meetings in long-term care and geriatric rehabilitation: a qualitative study DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3340738/v1 License
- Adams, M. & Miller, J. (2022). Organizational culture and values: influences on nurses' perceptions of multidisciplinary collaboration. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 78(1), 214–223.
- Ajakaye, J. E. & Ogunniyi, S. O. (2021). 21st-century multidisciplinary collaboration in research in library. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*. 6228. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/6228
- Alderwick, H., Hutchings, A., & Briggs, A. (2021). The impacts of collaboration between local health care and non-health care organizations and factors shaping how they work: a systematic review of reviews. *BMC Public Health* 21, 753. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10630-1
- Amudha, P., Hamidah, H., Annamma, K. & Ananth, N. (2018). Effective communication between nurses and doctors: barriers as perceived by nurses. *Journal of Nursing and Care*. 7:3https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph200209
- Bouton, C., Journeaux, M., & Jourdain, M. (2023). Inter professional collaboration in primary care: what effect on patient health? A systematic literature review. *BMC Prim. Care* 24, 253. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-023-02189-0
- Brandenburg, M. D., Cordell, S. A., Joque, J., MacEachern, M. P. & Song, J. (2017). Interdisciplinary collaboration: *Librarian Involvement in Grant Projects*.272-282 doi:10.5860/crl.78.3.272
- Brown, C., & Miller, C. (2017). Inter professional collaboration in health care: Past, present, and future. *Nursing Forum*, 52(4), 317-324. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-023-02189
- Brown, D., & Miller, D. (2018). Lifelong learning in medicine and nursing: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 38(2), 120–125.
- Buljac-Samardzic, M., Doekhie, K. D., & Wijngaarden, J. D. H. (2020). Interventions to improve team effectiveness within health care: a systematic review of the past decade. *Human Resources for Health*, *18*(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-019-0411-3
- Busari, J. O., Moll, F. M., & Duits, A. J. (2017). Understanding the impact of inter professional collaboration on the quality of care: a case report from a small-scale resource limited health care environment. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 10, 227–234. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S140042
- Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2019). Diagnosing and changing organizational culture: based on the competing values framework. John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-023-02189

- Chen, L., (2021). Telehealth and telemedicine in the era of COVID-19: A review and a vision for the post-pandemic era. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 144, 104353.
- Cifuentes, M., Davis, M., Fernald, D., Gunn, R., Dickinson, P., & Cohen, D. J. (2019). Electronic health record challenges, workarounds, and solutions observed in practices integrating behavioral health and primary care. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 28(Supplement 1), S63-S72. doi: 10.3389/fcomm.2021.606445
- Davis, S., & Williams, J. (2018). Financial resource allocation and its role in fostering multidisciplinary collaboration in patient care. *Health Policy and Planning*, 33(4), 432-445. https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php.
- De Brún, A., O'Donovan, R. & McAuliffe, E. (2019). Interventions to develop collectivistic leadership in healthcare settings: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 19, 72 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-3883-x
- Degu, T., Amsalu, E., Kebede, A., & Adal, O. (2023). Inter-professional collaboration and associated factors among nurses and physicians in specialized public hospitals, the northwest, Ethiopia: mixed method multi-centered cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 23(1), 286. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-09200-5
- Djaharuddin, I., Aras, I., Masadah, R., Yusuf, I., Idris, I., Rasyid, H., & Nelwan, B. (2023). Factors influencing the implementation of inter professional collaborative practice in teaching hospital setting: A Mixed-Method Study. *Journal of Advances in Medical Education & Professionalism*, 11(4), 213–221. https://doi.org/10.30476/JAMP.2023.98987.1821
- Doornebosch, A. J., Achterberg, W. P. & Smaling, H. J. A. (2023). Factors influencing inter professional collaboration in general and during multidisciplinary team meetings in long-term care and geriatric rehabilitation: a qualitative study. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3340738/v1
- Endris, Y. W., Selassie, M., Edmealem, A., Ademe, S., Yimam, W. & Zenebe, Y. (2022). Nurse–Physician Inter-Professional Collaboration and Associated Factors at Public Hospitals in Dessie City, Amhara, Northeastern Ethiopia, 2021. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*. 15: 1697–1708. https://www.dovepress.com/
- Geese, F., & Schmitt, K.U. (2023). Inter professional collaboration in complex patient care transition: A Qualitative Multi-Perspective Analysis. *Healthcare*.; 11(3):359. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11030359
- Geese, Franziska, and Kai-Uwe Schmitt. 2023. "Interprofessional Collaboration in Complex Patient Care Transition: A Qualitative Multi-Perspective Analysis" *Healthcare* 11, no. 3: 359. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11030359

- Hintenach, A. M. & Judith, L. H. (2020). Inter professional care: why teamwork matters. *Journal of Geriatric Practice*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19625-7_39
- Hobbick, S. (2023). Multidisciplinary collaboration in ambulatory care. 10th February, 2024 https://unf.pressbooks.pub/ambulatorycare/chapter/chapter-8-multidisciplinary-collaboration-in-ambulatory
- Tanaka, H., Medeiros, G. & Giglio, A. (2020). Multidisciplinary teams: perceptions of professionals and oncological patients. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.66.4.41
- Zajac S, Woods, A, Tannenbaum S, Salas E & Holladay CL (2021). Overcoming Challenges to Teamwork in Healthcare: A Team Effectiveness Framework and EvidenceBased Guidance. Front. Commun. 6:606445. doi: 10.3389/fcomm.2021.606445.