



GLOBAL PRESS HUB

GPH Review Form

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAM_1611
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of soft sets as soft semigroups
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://globalpresshub.com/index.php/AJPAM/editorial-policy>)

[GPH Review Form](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The authors have prepared a well-discussed work that presents a well-considered, up-to-date aspect that interests all. The novelty of the authors' idea is apparent. The proof of the paper is correct. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. 4. All sections in the manuscript have appropriateness. 5. Yes. 6. References to the recent and sufficient.	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In this article, the language used is appropriate.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	