

# **GPH Review Form**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJRMMS_1598
Title of the Manuscript:	MIDWIVES' KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF DECISION-MAKING TOOLS FOR PREVENTION OF OBSTETRIC-FISTULA AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN ABUJA GENERAL HOSPITALS
Type of the Article	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1(10-04-2018)



# **GPH Review Form**

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The research manuscripts are significant for midwives. Midwives must be well-informed about existing guidelines and best practices and able to use clinical decision-making tools to prevent obstetric fistulas in	,
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	the prenatal, intrapartum, and postpartum periods.	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	2. Yes, the title of the article is appropriate.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	O. The estide is incomplete Add come points. Fater the New York of the test to Catalog F. C.	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	3. The article is incomplete. Add some points: Enter the incidence rate of obstetric fistula, Enter the validity value, and Input the knowledge score.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are inappropriate. Add knowledge points. The results and discussion points must be the same.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	5. Yes, the manuscript is genuinely scientific	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	6. Few references are used. References in the bibliography do not match the introduction and discussion	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul> <li>Yes, the article is of English quality and suitable for scholarly communications.</li> <li>Correct sentence writing patterns.</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments	The research manuscript is good but requires a slight improvement and additions to the results and discussion, especially regarding midwives' level of knowledge about existing clinical decision-making tools and guidelines and best practices for preventing obstetric fistula before delivery, intrapartum and during the postpartum period in General Hospital in the FCT Abuja, Nigeria.	

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1(10-04-2018)



# **GPH Review Form**

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Pipih Napisah
Department, University & Country	Institute of Health Science Dharma Husada, Indonesia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1(10-04-2018)