



[GPH Review Form](#)

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Medicine and Medical Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Original Manuscript_AJRMMS_1598 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MIDWIVES' KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF DECISION-MAKING TOOLS FOR PREVENTION OF OBSTETRIC-FISTULA AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN ABUJA GENERAL HOSPITALS |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The research manuscripts are significant for midwives. Midwives must be well-informed about existing guidelines and best practices and able to use clinical decision-making tools to prevent obstetric fistulas in the prenatal, intrapartum, and postpartum periods.2. Yes, the title of the article is appropriate.3. The article is incomplete. Add some points: Enter the incidence rate of obstetric fistula, Enter the validity value, and Input the knowledge score.4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are inappropriate. Add knowledge points. The results and discussion points must be the same.5. Yes, the manuscript is genuinely scientific6. Few references are used. References in the bibliography do not match the introduction and discussion | Thanks For the comments |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes, the article is of English quality and suitable for scholarly communications.• Correct sentence writing patterns. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The research manuscript is good but requires a slight improvement and additions to the results and discussion, especially regarding midwives' level of knowledge about existing clinical decision-making tools and guidelines and best practices for preventing obstetric fistula before delivery, intrapartum and during the postpartum period in General Hospital in the FCT Abuja, Nigeria. | |



GLOBAL PRESS HUB

[GPH Review Form](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |