Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRRA_1761**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of Different zinc Fertilization Rates and tef (eragrostic tef (zucc.) Trotter)) varieties on agronomic and grain quality traits in Northern Ethiopia**
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Zn deficiency in soil leading Zn deficiency in the diet is the primary reason for malnutrition. Which is posing a serious threat to health of mankind. As tef is a nutrient dense crop as well as major crop grown in Northern Ethiopia, increasing the bioavailability of Zn in its grain by application of suitable dose of Zn fertilisers can be effective solution. In addition the identification of tef varieties accumulating more Zn in grain is also important for attaining sustainability.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**The title of the manuscript is not suitable. It can be modified to "Effect of Different Zinc Fertilization Rates on the agronomic and grain quality traits of tef (*Eeragrostic tef* (Zzucc.) Trotter) varieties in Northern Ethiopia"**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive and does not require any further modification.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Discussion can be improved.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The title of the manuscript is not apt. The variety treatment combination needs to be mention properly in the tables or in legend for better understanding of the reader. Otherwise, the manuscript is by and large scientifically correct.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**References are recent. Few more references need to be added to strengthen the findings.**	

Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The English quality was suitable for the publication.	
Optional/General comments	**PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Babyrani Panda**
Department, University & Country	**Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India**