

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRR_1761
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different zinc Fertilization Rates and tef (eragrostic tef (zucc.) Trotter)) varieties on agronomic and grain quality traits in Northern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Zn deficiency in soil leading Zn deficiency in the diet is the primary reason for malnutrition. Which is posing a serious threat to health of mankind. As tef is a nutrient dense crop as well as major crop grown in Northern Ethiopia, increasing the bioavailability of Zn in its grain by application of suitable dose of Zn fertilisers can be effective solution. In addition the identification of tef varieties accumulating more Zn in grain is also important for attaining sustainability.	i really like it and i think it will be useful to readers because it has en enough and realistic data and tef is a major crop grown and use for food common in Northern Ethiopia. Zn Fertilizers containing Zn might also improve the productivity and quality of cereals and concentration of Zn in tef grain.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is not suitable. It can be modified to “Effect of Different Zinc Fertilization Rates on the agronomic and grain quality traits of tef (<i>Eragrostic tef</i> (Zzucc.) Trotter) varieties in Northern Ethiopia”	I agree by this title Effect of Different Zinc Fertilization Rates on the agronomic and grain quality traits of tef (<i>Eragrostic tef</i> (Zzucc.) Trotter) varieties in Northern Ethiopia
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive and does not require any further modification.	Yes it is comprehensive .
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Discussion can be improved.	It is improved
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the manuscript is not apt. The variety treatment combination needs to be mention properly in the tables or in legend for better understanding of the reader. Otherwise, the manuscript is by and large scientifically correct.	The treatment combination varieties and rate of fertilizer in the tables is clarified or write in detail.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	References are recent. Few more references need to be added to strengthen the findings.	yes

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality was suitable for the publication.	yes
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	this manuscript is do not includes all , Fraudulent publications, plagiarism, duplicate publication, author- ship, and potential for conflict of interest