

Journal Name:	BIONATURE
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BN_1772
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Economic Utility of Coastal Flora Growing in and around Porbandar District of Gujarat
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very significant for the scientific and academic community. Socio-Economic Utility of Coastal Flora Growing in and around Porbandar District of Gujarat is significantly described in the manuscript. The current research work in the aforesaid manuscript is the need of the hour.	----
Is the title of the article suitable?  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is well framed and meaningful depicting the entire research work in the manuscript.	---
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The word limit of the abstract can be rechecked with the author guidelines and as per the journal requirements and some more Keywords can also be incorporated as per need.	Abstract and Keywords are revised.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate but the subheadings such as Conflict of Interest and Acknowledgements can also be included in the above manuscript.	Conflict of interest is added.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is logically and scientifically structured. However, the introduction must also be included in the above manuscript.	Background is changed to Introduction.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.  :-	The references are sufficiently cited in the manuscript. However, the setting of references according to the journals requirements must also be looked into thoroughly.	References are revised.

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		--
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is reader friendly and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NIL	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	