

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRA_1766
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Economic Factors Affecting NTFPs Income in Gujarat: A Study of the Dangs Range
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript though has significant importance to study the NTFP contribution to tribal income, it fails to address it in any meaningful way. The study lacks a robust methodology to draw out clear conclusions, along with inconsistent use of NTFP collection units. The comprehensiveness of the manuscript is not clear and discussion on the results is not backed with enough citation of similar results.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The NTFP amount collected and income generated should be mentioned in annual or monthly basis, such detail is missing.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is fine but the content is not.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The lack of proper literature review is evident in the manuscript introduction. The questions like how tribal communities depends on said NTFPs for sustenance use, how they employ their Traditional Ecological Knowledge to collect appropriate NTFP, what’s the mechanism of selling it to the forest department, how the terms of partnership (time period, seasonality, minimum prices for selected NTFP) for NTFP collection between the forest department and forest dwellers is defined, etc?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	The lack of proper foregrounding through associated literature is missing.	

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The spelling mistakes are aplenty in the manuscript. The sentences are casually written with grammatical and contextual mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohit Payal
Department, University & Country	Wildlife Institute of India, India