

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biosciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORIB_1743
Title of the Manuscript:	STEM CELL THERAPY IN TYPE1 DIABETIC TREATMENT: CURRENT ADVANCEMENT AND FUTURE PROSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Text, figures, photographs, tables, screenshots, and other items may be included. Unless the figure is in the public domain (copyright-free) or permitted for use under Creative Commons or other open licenses, the author must get permission from the copyright holder(s). 2. Write the <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> on italics throughout the manuscript. 3. Cited the tables and figure in the text of the manuscript. 4. Give the reference or cited numbering to the tables. 5. Replace the heading of recommendation with future prospectives. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Deletion of recommendation section from the abstract. 7. As in review article result section is not present. If this manuscript is a review article, then correct the abstract. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. The sequence of formatting should be same in the heading and sub-headings (page 12, 17). 9. Used the line and paragraph spacing throughout the manuscript. 10. The heading of each section should be similar throughout the manuscript. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. The author can add the mind-map or figures in the manuscript for the attractive presentation of the article. 12. Also mentioned the ethical process involved in this therapy. 13. Kindly mentioned the comparative treatments of TYPE1 DIABETIC other then this therapy. How stem cell better than other treatments. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 14. References format should be similar throughout the reference section. 15. Used the <i>et al</i> after first three authors or six authors of the article in reference section. 	

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uditi Handa
Department, University & Country	Guru Gobind Singh College of Pharmacy, India