

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEFM_1643</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GAS SECTOR DEVELOPMENT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1:** Review Comments

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>The author has written the above research paper well by taking the possible available data for the analysis and considering the present scenario of the oil and gas sector development and governments policies in Nigeria. If the observations of author are considered for making policies by the government, the GDP of the country may be changed to certain extent. The paper may be accepted and published in your esteemed journal but the following corrections needs to be done before its publication.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) In page no. 5 the Definition of Variables needs to be changed as Table (Table 1).</li> <li>2) In page no.5 - 3.4 Method of data collection (Delete 3.4).</li> <li>3) In page nos. 6 &amp; 7 - 6. Results and Discussion &amp; 7. Results of Discussion can be made as Results and Discussion.</li> <li>4) In page no. 6 – Table 1 (needs merging)</li> <li>5) In page 8 – Table 2 is not properly aligned</li> <li>6) There is no reference to the Figures and Tables in the text of the paper.</li> <li>7) Most of the references listed are incomplete (Incomplete titles of the paper, missing of page no., vol no., publisher details, year of publication etc).</li> </ol>	All corrections are done

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	