

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_120258
Title of the Manuscript:	Padded-condom penile mould as a cheap and convenient adjunct after vaginoplasty for low transverse vaginal septum-A Case report.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vaginal septa are rare clinical scenarios that need more detailed research and reporting, the case here is interesting and the management is good but the writing needs revision	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title concentrate on the penile mould while the text is not	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The language needs revision as the abstract is not clear enough, it needs more details about the current situation of the case as regards the main issue of the paper " the condom mould"	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript needs more detailed writing, language revision, and more stress on the subject described it the title , what was the experience with the patient , author recommendations, ect.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs a revision by a native English speaker to be more clear	
Optional/General comments	Introduction: need to talk about other longitudinal vaginal septa and more details about modalities for imaging Case report: the us image is not clear and it has a myoma ?? , figures 3,4, and 5 are perfect Discussion: vagina aplasia and vaginal atresia, what is the difference?. Againmore details about modalities for imaging and diagnosis are needed. What does "lifting of grafts" mean ? Different options for vagina moulds and dilators need more description Conclusion is vague and irrelevant	This has also ben updated. Atresia or aplasia is the absence or closure of an organ and vulvar and vaginal atresia may occur separately or simultaneously. It actually means the same thing depending on the usage.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	