

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_120258
Title of the Manuscript:	Padded-condom penile mould as a cheap and convenient adjunct after vaginoplasty for low transverse vaginal septum-A Case report.
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a rare congenital anomaly, the transverse vaginal septum, and provides a detailed case study on its diagnosis and treatment. The inclusion of an innovative, low-cost solution for post-surgical care, the padded-condom penile mould, makes this work particularly valuable for practitioners in resource-limited settings. This case report not only adds to the limited literature on this condition but also offers practical insights into cost-effective management strategies that can significantly improve patient outcomes.	Thank you
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Padded-condom penile mould as a cheap and convenient adjunct after vaginoplasty for low transverse vaginal septum-A Case report," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the manuscript. However, a slight modification for clarity could be: "Innovative Use of Padded-Condom Penile Mould Post-Vaginoplasty in Low Transverse Vaginal Septum: A Case Report."	Noted. Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and effectively summarizes the key aspects of the case report, including the diagnosis, treatment, and innovative post-operative care. However, it could be enhanced by briefly mentioning the outcomes and follow-up results to provide a more complete picture. Specifically, adding a sentence on the successful outcome of the treatment and the patient's recovery would be beneficial.	She had successful post operative period with no complication. She resumed uneventful sexually relationship that subsequently led to pregnancy after marriage. She is currently being followed up in our antenatal clinic. This has been added
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate and well-organized. The progression from introduction to case report, followed by the discussion and conclusion, provides a logical flow that is easy to follow.	Thank you.
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The diagnostic methods employed, including MRI and ultrasound, are appropriate and well-documented. The surgical technique described is detailed and follows established medical protocols. The innovative use of a padded-condom penile mould as a vaginal dilator is not only technically sound but also a highly practical solution for preventing post-surgical complications such as stenosis. The case outcome, leading to a successful pregnancy, further validates the effectiveness of the treatment approach.	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :-	The references included in the manuscript are both sufficient and recent, ensuring that the information is up-to-date and relevant. They cover a broad range of related topics, providing a solid foundation for the case report. No additional references are necessary at this time.	Thank you

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. However, a thorough proofreading could help to eliminate minor grammatical errors and improve readability.	I have read through and corrected some punctuation.
Optional/General comments	I find this manuscript highly insightful and innovative. The detailed case study offers a clear, step-by-step approach to diagnosing and treating transverse vaginal septum, which is both educational and practical. The introduction of a cost-effective vaginal dilator is an exemplary demonstration of how resourceful solutions can be implemented in clinical practice. Overall, the manuscript is a commendable contribution to the field of gynecology.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Yes, I totally agree. Thank you.