



GLOBAL PRESS HUB

GPH Review Form

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRR_1537
Title of the Manuscript:	Sexual Dimorphism in Body Weight, Morphometric Traits and Growth Parameters of Cinamon Brown Colour Strain of Japanese Quails reared in South southern part of Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

[GPH Review Form](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This manuscript is neither scientifically robust nor technically sound.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, title of this article is adequate but not specific. 2. Yes abstract is comprehensive but not specific as to show whether this is a production experiment or a genetic one. 3. Yes, subsections and structure are appropriate. 4. No, this manuscript is scientifically not correct. 5. Yes, References are sufficient and recent.	The title has been redrafted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes, English quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	