

GPH Review Form

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEFM_1510
Title of the Manuscript:	SELECTED TAXES AND MANUFACTURING SECTOR OUTPUT IN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? https://superuser.com/questions 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The article seems important for the scientific community as it analyzes the impact of taxes on manufacturing output in Nigeria. Using data over a long period and applying Lindahl's theory, the study offers a thorough and theoretically informed analysis. The results, together with the practical recommendations made, provide valuable insights for policymakers and economic practitioners.</p> <p>Impact of Selected Taxes on Manufacturing Output in Nigeria</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes the structure is correct</p> <p>Yes it's correct</p> <p>Yes they are sufficient</p>	<p>Thank you for your review comments.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>There are passages whose tone and content we consider political, as well as statements with no reference to them.</p> <p>The passage and association of Lindhal's theory with the ARDL model should be further developed.</p>	<p>We are glad to receive your review comments. We agree to the comment that there are passages whose tone and content appear political without references attached to such statements. We are going to rewrite such statements and provide references.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The theoretical basis of Lindhal's equilibrium and the ARDL model is original.	Thank you for this.



GLOBAL PRESS HUB

[GPH Review Form](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	